

Accounts and Audit Committee progress report and emerging issues & developments for Trafford Council

Year end 31 March 2015

January 2015

Mike Thomas

Director

T 0161 214 6368

E mike.thomas@uk.gt.com

Helen Stevenson

Audit Manager

T 0161 234 6354


E helen.l.stevenson@uk.gt.com

Andrew McNeil

Audit Executive

T 0161 912 4560

E andrew.mcneil@uk.gt.com



The contents of this report relate only to the matters which have come to our attention, which we believe need to be reported to you as part of our audit process. It is not a comprehensive record of all the relevant matters, which may be subject to change, and in particular we cannot be held responsible to you for reporting all of the risks which may affect your business or any weaknesses in your internal controls. This report has been prepared solely for your benefit and should not be quoted in whole or in part without our prior written consent. We do not accept any responsibility for any loss occasioned to any third party acting, or refraining from acting on the basis of the content of this report, as this report was not prepared for, nor intended for, any other purpose.

Contents

Section	Page
Introduction	4
Progress at January 2015	5-6
The 2014-15 Audit	
Emerging issues and developments	
Grant Thornton	7
Accounting and audit issues	8-12
Local government guidance	13-15

Introduction

This paper provides the Accounts and Audit Committee with a report on progress in delivering our responsibilities as your external auditors. The paper also includes:

- a summary of emerging national issues and developments that may be relevant to you; and
- a number of challenge questions in respect of these emerging issues which the Committee may wish to consider.

Members of the Accounts and Audit Committee can find further useful material on our website www.grant-thornton.co.uk, where we have a section dedicated to our work in the public sector (<http://www.grant-thornton.co.uk/en/Services/Public-Sector/>). Here you can download copies of our publications including:

- Rising to the challenge: the evolution of local government, summary findings from our fourth year of financial health checks of English local authorities
- 2020 Vision, exploring finance and policy future for English local government
- Where growth happens, on the nature of growth and dynamism across England

If you would like further information on any items in this briefing, or would like to register with Grant Thornton to receive regular email updates on issues that are of interest to you, please contact either your Engagement Lead or Audit Manager.

Mike Thomas Engagement Lead **T:** 0161 214 6368 **M:** 0788 045 6173 **E:** mike.thomas@uk.gt.com

Helen Stevenson Engagement Manager **T:** 0161 234 6354 **M:** 0788 045 6209 **E:** helen.l.stevenson@uk.gt.com

Progress at January 2015

Work	Planned date	Complete?	Comments
<p>2014-15 Accounts Audit Plan We are required to issue a detailed accounts audit plan to the Council setting out our proposed approach in order to give an opinion on the Council's 2014-15 financial statements.</p>	March 2015	On Track	<p>We have issued our fee letter for 2014-15 which includes our outline proposals.</p> <p>Our more detailed plan will be shared with management and issued at the next Accounts and Audit Committee.</p>
<p>Interim accounts audit Our interim fieldwork visit includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • updating our review of the Council's control environment • updating our understanding of financial systems • review of Internal Audit reports on core financial systems • early work on emerging accounting issues • early substantive testing • Initial Value for Money planning and risk assessment. 	From January 2015	On Track	<p>We have commenced our planning and interim work and this will be reported as part of our planning document.</p>
<p>2014-15 final accounts audit Including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • audit of the 2014-15 financial statements • proposed opinion on the Council's accounts • proposed Value for Money conclusion. 	June to September 2015	On Track	<p>Our work will start with the receipt of the draft final accounts in June 2015. We will prepare an Audit Findings Report and present this to the Committee prior to issuing our audit report.</p> <p>We will complete our work on Whole of Government accounts (WGA) in line with the submission deadline in early October.</p>

Progress at January 2015

Work	Planned date	Complete?	Comments
<p>Value for Money (VfM) conclusion We will give our statutory VfM conclusion on the arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness based on two criteria, specified by the Audit Commission, related to the Council's arrangements for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • securing financial resilience – focusing on whether the Council is managing its financial risks to secure a stable financial position for the foreseeable future • challenging how it secures economy, efficiency and effectiveness – focusing on whether the Council is prioritising its resources within tighter budgets and improving productivity and efficiency. 	September 2015	On track	<p>We will complete a risk assessment and set out a planned programme of VfM work to inform our 2014-15 conclusion.</p> <p>We will report our overall conclusions on VfM in our Audit Findings report.</p>
<p>Annual Audit Letter Our Annual Audit Letter will summarise the findings from our 2014-15 audit.</p>	October 2015	On track	We will issue our report summarising our work and circulate this to members as required.
<p>Grants Report 2013-14</p>	February 2015	Issued	Attached at Appendix 1 is the summary findings letter from our review of the Council's 2013-14 grant claims.

Rising to the challenge

Grant Thornton

Our national report, Rising to the Challenge, the Evolution of Local Government, was published in December and is available at: <http://www.grant-thornton.co.uk/en/Publications/2014/Rising-to-the-challenge---The-evolution-of-local-government/>

This is the fourth in our series of annual reports on the financial health of local government. Like previous reports, it covers key indicators of financial performance, strategic financial planning, financial governance and financial control. It also includes case studies of best practice and a comparison to the NHS. This year it has been extended to use benchmarking information on savings plans and budget performance.

The overall message is a positive one. What stands out is how well local authorities have navigated the first period of austerity in the face of ever increasing funding, demographic and other challenges. Many authorities are forecasting financial resilience confidently in their medium term financial strategy. This reflects an evolution in financial management that would have been difficult to envisage in 2010. However, there remains much to be achieved if the sector is to become sustainable in the long term, and authorities should consider if their:

- medium- to long-term strategy redefines the role of the authority creatively
- operational environment will adapt, working in partnership with other authorities and local organisations
- strategy looks beyond the traditional two- to three-year resource planning horizon
- organisational culture is aligned to where the authority needs to be in the medium to long term
- senior leadership teams – both officers and members – have the necessary skills and capacity to ensure delivery against the medium-term challenges
- corporate governance arrangements ensure effective oversight and scrutiny of the organisation as it adapts to the challenges it faces.

The importance of these actions will be magnified if local government devolves further, particularly in relation to fiscal devolution. The new-found confidence of local government in responding to the medium-term challenges will be tested significantly by the second phase of austerity.

Hard copies of our report are available from your Engagement Lead or Audit Manager.

Accounting for schools

Accounting and audit issues

Accounting for schools

The debate about the recognition of school land and buildings on local authority balance sheets (which most commentators had thought settled) has been reignited. Grant Thornton is taking a leading role in trying to resolve this unexpected development.

In March, CIPFA/LASAAC Code concluded that under IFRS 10, maintained schools (but not free schools or academies) meet the definition of entities that need to be consolidated in group accounts. However, rather than requiring local authorities to prepare group accounts, the CIPFA/LASAAC Code requires local authorities to account for maintained schools within their single entity accounts. This includes school income and expenditure as well as assets and liabilities. The general expectation in the sector was that:

- the vast majority of voluntary aided, voluntary controlled and foundation schools would be recognised on local authority balance sheets
- a small number of school buildings that are provided at no charge by a religious body and where there was a realistic possibility that they could be taken back by their owners would be treated as assets of the religious body and so not recognised on the local authority balance sheet.

However, at the CIPFA conference in November, CIPFA clarified that it considers that most voluntary aided and voluntary controlled school buildings would **not** be recognised on the balance sheet. This is because the religious bodies have a legal right to take back these assets. Nor does CIPFA consider the position for foundation school building to be clear cut and local judgement would need to be applied. We have not seen evidence that would support the view taken by CIPFA and have concerns about:

- whether the treatment proposed by CIPFA complies with the Code
- the significant practical implications for the sector
- the potential for inconsistent accounting treatments depending on local judgement.

We are working with the Audit Commission, CIPFA and the other audit firms suppliers to try to seek a practical way forward as soon as possible.

Continued overleaf

Accounting for schools (continued)

Accounting and audit issues

We will continue to share the latest developments with officers. In the mean time we would recommend that you continue your preparations for recognising school land and building including:

- identifying those schools where school buildings are owned by third parties (such as church dioceses) and determining under what circumstances the buildings could be taken back by the third party
- obtaining valuations for school land and buildings for each of the three balance sheet dates (1 April 2013, 31 March 2014, 31 March 2015)
- obtaining sufficient information to enable the authority to restate its revaluation reserve and capital adjustment account.

Challenge questions

Has your Director of Finance put in place a plan to address the changes in accounting for schools?

Group accounting standards

Accounting and audit issues

The CIPFA Code has adopted a new suite of standards for accounting for subsidiaries, associates and joint arrangements. These changes affect how local authorities account for services delivered through other entities and joint working with partners.

The key changes for 2014/15 are to:

- the definition of control over 'other entities'. The revised definition is set out in IFRS 10 and determines which entities are treated as subsidiaries
- the accounting for joint arrangements. This now follows IFRS 11 and includes changes to the definition of joint ventures and how joint ventures are consolidated in group accounts
- disclosures in relation to subsidiaries, joint arrangements, associates and unconsolidated entities as set out in IFRS 12.

Changes to the definition of control over 'other entities'

Control was previously defined in terms of power to govern the financial and operating policies of an entity. IFRS 10 sets out three elements for an investor to be considered as controlling an investee (all of which must be met):

- the investor has the rights to direct the relevant activities of the investee (relevant activities being the ones that determine the return for the investors – the return could be in the form of a service rather than money)
- the investor has exposure, or rights, to variable returns from its involvement with the investee
- the investor has the ability to use its power over the investee to affect the amount of the investor's returns.

In the commercial sector, this is generally thought to have resulted in more entities being treated as subsidiaries. However, the change is in both directions: some subsidiaries have been redefined as associates. Local authorities with investments in 'other entities' will need to consider whether:

- they control any entities using the new definition. Local authorities will need to pay particular attention to special purpose vehicles and any other entities where there was a close judgement call under the old IAS 27
- there is a need for a prior period adjustment.

Group accounting standards (continued)

Accounting and audit issues

Changes to accounting for joint arrangements

Joint arrangements are contractual arrangements between two or more parties where there is joint control. IFRS 11 makes three key changes from IAS 31:

- there are now only two types of joint arrangements: joint operations and joint ventures
- In a joint operation the investing parties have rights and obligations in relation to the arrangement's assets and liabilities, whereas in a joint venture the parties have rights to the arrangement's net assets. IFRS 11 bases its definition of joint ventures on the substance of the arrangement rather than legal status. It is for the entity to assess whether a joint arrangement is a joint operation or joint venture by considering its rights and obligations arising from the arrangement. To do this the entity needs to consider the structure and legal form of the arrangement, the terms agreed by the parties and any other relevant facts and circumstances. Appendix B to IFRS 11 provides further explanation and examples of joint operations and joint ventures.
- local authorities are still required to consolidate joint ventures in their group accounts but must now do so using the equity (single line) method. The option for proportionate (line-by-line) consolidation has been removed.

The key challenge for most local authorities will be determining whether their joint arrangements are joint ventures or joint operations. The difference should be clear from the contract but in some cases judgement may be required. Local authorities that have previously used the proportionate consolidation method will need to account for the move to equity accounting as a prior period adjustment.

Disclosure of interests in other entities

IFRS 12 makes consistent the requirements for disclosures in relation to subsidiaries, joint arrangements, associates and unconsolidated entities. It includes the need for transparency about the risks to which the reporting entity is exposed as a consequence of its investment in such arrangements.

Challenge questions

- Has your Director of Finance assessed the potential impact of these standards for the authority's financial statements?

Earlier closure and audit of accounts

Accounting and audit issues

DCLG is consulting on proposals to bring forward the audit deadline for 2017/18 to the end of July 2018. Although July 2018 is almost 4 years away, both local authorities and their auditors will have to make real changes in how they work to ensure they are 'match-fit' to achieve this deadline. This will require leadership from members and senior management. Local government accountants and their auditors should start working on this now.

Top tips for local authorities:

- make preparation of the draft accounts and your audit a priority, investing appropriate resources to make it happen
- make the year end as close to 'normal' as possible by carrying out key steps each and every month
- discuss potential issues openly with auditors as they arise throughout the year
- agree key milestones, deadlines and response times with your auditor
- agree exactly what working papers are required.

Challenge questions

- Has your Director of Finance put in place a plan to address the earlier close date?

Financial sustainability of local government

Local government guidance

In November the National Audit Office published their report on the [Financial Sustainability of Local Government](#).

The report concludes that Local authorities have coped well with reductions in government funding, but some groups of authorities are showing clear signs of financial stress. The Department for Communities and Local Government has a limited understanding of authorities' financial sustainability and the impacts of funding cuts on services, according to the National Audit Office.

The Government reduced its funding to local authorities by an estimated 28% in real terms between 2010-11 and 2014-15. Further planned cuts will bring the total reduction to 37% by 2015-16, excluding the Better Care Fund and public health grant. Although there have been no financial failures in local authorities in this period, a survey of local auditors shows that authorities are showing signs of financial pressure. Over a quarter of single tier and county councils had to make unplanned reductions in service spend to deliver their 2013-14 budgets. Auditors are increasingly concerned about local authorities' capacity to make further savings, with 52% of single tier and county councils not being well-placed to deliver their medium-term financial plans.

There are significant differences in the scale of funding reductions faced by different authorities. Authorities that depend most on government grant are the ones most affected by funding reductions and reforms. This was an outcome of policy decisions to tackle the fiscal deficit by reducing public spending, and for local authority funding to offer incentives for growth.

Local authorities have tried to protect spending on social care services. Other service areas such as housing services and culture and leisure services have seen larger reductions. While local authorities have tried to make savings through efficiencies rather than by reducing services, there is some evidence of reduction in service levels.

According to the NAO, however, the Department does not monitor in a coordinated way the impact of funding reductions on services, and relies on other departments and inspectorates to alert it to individual service failures. In consequence, the Department risks becoming aware of serious problems with the financial sustainability of local authorities only after they have occurred.

The Department's processes for assessing the capacity of authorities to absorb further funding reductions are also not sufficiently robust.

Kerslake report on Birmingham City Council

Local government guidance

Sir Bob Kerslake published his report, [The way forward: an independent review of the governance and organisational capabilities of Birmingham City Council](#), on 9th December.

Commissioned by the Secretary of State this comes off the back of well publicised failures in Children's Services and the Trojan Horse issue in Birmingham Schools. It includes some tough messages for Birmingham City, but there are issues that resonate with all large local authorities.

The report's recommendations include the following.

- The Council needs an external Improvement Board to show that it is making the changes it needs to effectively serve its population.
- Internal governance needs fundamental change, including the relationship between members and officers, how it plans for the future, a stronger corporate core and a programme of culture change.
- The Council needs more political clarity, moving away from annual thirds elections and reducing the number of members. This includes redesigning the model for representative governance.
- Medium term financial planning needs greater clarity, and the Council cannot assume that it will get any additional Government support.
- In moving from a 20,000 people organisation in 2010 to a 7,000 people one by 2018 the Council needs fit for purpose workforce planning.
- Devolution within the Council and across the City needs simplifying and a greater outcome focus.
- Partnership working needs redefining, with the Council moving away from a 'Big Brother' approach.
- The Council needs to work with the other West Midland MBCs to make the combined authority a reality that delivers jobs and prosperity to the region.

Challenge questions

- Have Trafford Council considered whether there are lessons or issues from the report that it also needs to action?

Local government financial reporting remains strong

Local government guidance

The Audit Commission published its report, [Auditing the Accounts 2013/14: Local government bodies](#), on 11th December.

Financial reporting was consistently strong for most types of principal local authority in 2013/14 when compared to the previous financial year. This year the Commission has congratulated 16 bodies where auditors were able to issue an unqualified opinion and a VFM conclusion on the 2013/14 accounts by 31 July 2014, and the body published audited accounts promptly. Although, as only 21 principal bodies have managed to publish their audited accounts by 31 July since 2008/09, a move to bring the accounts publication date forward is likely to cause significant challenges for the majority of public bodies.

The Commission reports that auditors were able to issue the audit opinion by 30 September 2014 at 99 per cent of councils, 90 per cent of fire and rescue authorities, 97 per cent of police bodies, all other local government bodies and 99 per cent of both parish councils and internal drainage boards. This is consistent with last year for most groups, but an improvement for councils and small bodies compared to 2012/13.

Eight principal authorities were listed where the auditor was unable to issue an opinion by the 30th September deadline.



© 2015 Grant Thornton UK LLP. All rights reserved.

'Grant Thornton' means Grant Thornton UK LLP, a limited liability partnership.

Grant Thornton is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (Grant Thornton International). References to 'Grant Thornton' are to the brand under which the Grant Thornton member firms operate and refer to one or more member firms, as the context requires. Grant Thornton International and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. Services are delivered independently by member firms, which are not responsible for the services or activities of one another. Grant Thornton International does not provide services to clients.

grant-thornton.co.uk